arXiv:1402.6253v1 [hep-ex] 25 Feb 2014

Third generation superpartners: Results from ATLAS and CMS

J. Poveda* on behalf of the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations

Department of Physics, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405-7105, United States
**E-mail: Ximo.Poveda@cern.ch*

These proceedings discuss results from recent searches for third generation SUSY particles by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at the LHC. Analyses performed with 8 TeV data probing direct pair production of bottom and top squarks are presented.

Keywords: LHC, ATLAS, CMS, SUSY, stop, sbottom.

1. Introduction

After the discovery of a Higgs boson[1,2] in 2012, all the particles included in the Standard Model (SM) have been observed experimentally. Despite the many successes of this theory in the last few decades, it has several limitations from the experimental and theoretical perspectives. For instance, the quadratically divergent loop corrections to the Higgs mass would imply a high level of fine-tuning. In addition, the SM in its current formulation cannot explain the abundance of dark matter in the universe and does not provide unification of forces at a high energy scale.

Weak scale supersymmetry (SUSY) is one of the most studied extensions of the SM and, among other features, can solve all the problems mentioned above. SUSY postulates the existence of "superpartners" for all the existing SM particles with spin differing by half a unit. In R-parity conserving SUSY models, the lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP), typically the lightest neutralino ($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$), is stable and therefore a good dark matter candidate.

The experimental search for the superpartners of the SM bottom and top quarks, known as bottom and top squarks or simply as sbottom ($\tilde{b}_1$) and stop ($\tilde{t}_1$), is one of the most active areas in the search for SUSY. These particles can be relatively light, and therefore Naturalness arguments, introduced to avoid fine tuning in the theory, predict the sbottom and stop

masses to be a few hundred GeV, which would therefore be produced with sizeable cross sections at colliders, and produce heavy quarks (t and b) in their decays.

2. Search for third generation SUSY particles in ATLAS and CMS

During 2012, the ATLAS[3] and CMS[4] experiments at the LHC[5] acquired more than 20 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity from proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV.

These proceedings will cover recent searches for the direct production of stop and sbottom, most of them performed using the full data set recorded in 2012. In these analyses, the basic elements used to distinguish between stop or sbottom signals and the SM backgrounds are the presence of leptons (produced in chargino, $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, or top decays), jets, b-jets (produced in the decays of $\tilde{b}_1$ and top) and missing transverse energy ($E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$) from the escaping LSPs and neutrinos. Discriminants combining several of these basic objects are also commonly used, such as the transverse mass of a W candidate, defined as $m_{\mathrm{T}}^2 = 2 \cdot p_T^{\ell} \cdot E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}} \cdot (1 - \cos(\Delta\phi(\ell, E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}))$. Some analyses also use more complicated methods, such as multivariate techniques or multi-dimensional fits.

Most of the interpretations included in these proceedings are obtained in simplified models,[6] where only a few particles and decays are considered, assuming that the rest of the spectrum is much heavier and decoupled from the process of interest.

3. Results on sbottom searches

The main sbottom decay modes include the decay to either the lightest or the second lightest neutralino ($\tilde{\chi}_2^0$) plus a b quark ($\tilde{b}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_1^0, b\tilde{\chi}_2^0$), or to charginos via $\tilde{b}_1 \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$.

In scenarios where the sbottom is produced in pairs and decays predominantly via $\tilde{b}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, signal events have a $b\bar{b}\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ final state. This is explored with analyses requiring a lepton veto, two b-jets and $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$, using event kinematic variables to distinguish the sbottom signal from the $b\bar{b}$ background.[7,8] Figure 1 (left) shows an example of the exclusion limits obtained by ATLAS for this scenario, reaching sbottom masses of approximately 650 GeV for a massless LSP.

For model characterized by the $\tilde{b}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ decay, Z or h bosons are produced via $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to h\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, and the additional leptons and b-

jets in the $h \to b\bar{b}$ or $Z \to \ell\ell$ decays are used to discriminate signal from background.[9,10] Figure 1 (right) shows example exclusion limits obtained by CMS considering a simplified model defined by the $\tilde{b}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decay chain, with sensitivity to sbottom masses above 450 GeV.


Sbottom pair production, $\tilde{b}_1 \to b\,\tilde{\chi}_1^0$
ATLAS
$\int$ Ldt = 20.1 fb^{-1}, $\sqrt{s}$=8 TeV
All limits at 95% CL
Observed limit ($\pm 1\,\sigma^{SUSY}_{theory}$)
Expected limit ($\pm 1\,\sigma_{exp}$)
CDF 2.65 fb^{-1}
D0 5.2 fb^{-1}
ATLAS 2.05 fb^{-1}, $\sqrt{s}$=7 TeV
$\tilde{b}_1 \to b\,\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ forbidden
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ [GeV]
$m_{\tilde{b}_1}$ [GeV]
CMS Preliminary, 19.5 fb^{-1}, $\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV
$pp \to \tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}^*_1, \tilde{b}_1 \to bZ\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ NLO+NLL exclusion
Observed $\pm 1\,\sigma_{theory}$
Expected $\pm 1\,\sigma_{experiment}$
$m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ = 110 GeV
m_{LSP} (GeV)
$m_{sbottom}$ (GeV)
95% C.L. upper limit on cross section (fb)

Fig. 1. Expected and observed exclusion limits at 95% CL in the ($m_{\tilde{b}_1}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$) mass plane for simplified models with sbottom pair production. On the left,[7] the sbottom decays via $\tilde{b}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ and, on the right,[10] via $\tilde{b}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ with $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \to Z\tilde{\chi}_1^0$.

If the sbottom decays predominantly via $\tilde{b}_1 \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$, the final state includes additional leptons from the top and chargino decays ($\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \to W^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$). This signal scenario is explored in ATLAS and CMS with analyses requiring two same-sign leptons[11,12] or three leptons[10,13] in the final state. Figure 2 shows the interpretations obtained from some of these analyses for the cases where $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} = 2m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$, with sensitivities for sbottom masses in the range of 500-600 GeV.

4. Results on stop searches

The decay of the top squark depends on the mass splitting between the stop and its possible decay products, leading to very different topologies depending on the mass spectrum.

For a heavy stop, the dominant decays would be $\tilde{t}_1 \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ (kinematically allowed if $m_{\tilde{t}_1} > m_t + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$) and $\tilde{t}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ (allowed if $m_{\tilde{t}_1} > m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}} + m_b$). However, if the $\tilde{t}_1 \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decay is kinematically forbidden ($m_{\tilde{t}_1} < m_t + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$), the stop would have a three-body decay ($\tilde{t}_1 \to bW\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) via an off-shell top.


ATLAS Preliminary
∫ L dt = 20.7 fb⁻¹, √s=8 TeV
2 same-charge leptons + jets
Observed limit (±1 σ theory SUSY)
Expected limit (±1 σ exp)
3-leptons, ≥ 4 jets, 13.0fb⁻¹, √s=8TeV
All limits at 95% CL
Numbers give 95% CL excluded model cross sections [fb]
m_t̃1 [GeV]
m_b̃1 [GeV]
CMS Preliminary, 19.5 fb⁻¹, √s = 8 TeV
pp→b̃1b̃*1, b̃1→ tW χ̃⁰1 NLO+NLL exclusion
Observed ± 1 σ theory
Expected ± 1 σ experiment
m_χ̃⁰1/m_χ̃±1 = 0.5
m_LSP (GeV)
m_sbottom (GeV)
95% C.L. upper limit on cross section (fb)

Fig. 2. Expected and observed exclusion limits at 95% CL in the ($m_{\tilde{b}_1}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$) mass plane for simplified models with sbottom pair production and $\tilde{b}_1 \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ decays obtained with dilepton same-sign[11] (left) and three-lepton[10] (right) analyses.

For a lighter stop, when the decays above are forbidden, four-body decays ($\tilde{t}_1 \to bW^{(*)}\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) via off-shell t and W would occur if $m_{\tilde{t}_1} - m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} < m_W + m_b$, or the stop would decay to a charm quark via $\tilde{t}_1 \to c\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ if $m_{\tilde{t}_1} > m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + m_c$.

The searches for a heavy stop decaying predominantly via $\tilde{t}_1 \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $\tilde{t}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ are designed based on the decay of the W boson in the top or chargino decay modes, leading to topologies with zero, one or two leptons. The semi-leptonic analyses make use of boosted-decision trees[14] at CMS or shape fits in the $E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$ and m_{T} variables[15] at ATLAS to distinguish a potential stop signal from the $t\bar{t}$ background. Similarly, analyses probing the fully leptonic final state by ATLAS[16,17] make use of the m_{T2} variable[18,19] as discriminator between signal and background. Searches for stop are also performed in the fully hadronic channel by both experiments,[20,21] showing a very good sensitivity at high stop masses.

A dedicated analysis is also performed by ATLAS aiming at the $\tilde{t}_1 \to c\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decay[22] based on charm-tagging techniques and, for the case of charm jets too soft to be detected, on a monojet approach relying on an initial state radiation high-p_{T} jet.

Figure 3 summarizes the exclusion limits obtained by ATLAS and CMS as a function of the stop and neutralino masses for simplified models with different stop decays. Sensitivity up to stop masses of around 700 GeV are obtained for a massless neutralino, while for massive neutralinos of 250-300 GeV, stop sensitivity falls to 450-500 GeV.



Fig. 3. Summary of the expected and observed exclusion limits at 95% CL in the ($m_{\tilde{t}_1}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$) from the ATLAS[23] (top) and CMS[24] (bottom) searches for stop pair production.

Despite the good coverage of the stop mass parameter space in Figure 3, some experimentally challenging regions remain unconstrained. For example, no exclusion is achieved in the $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \approx m_t + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ region, where the stop events are kinematically very similar to SM $t\bar{t}$ production. However, this region is explored by ATLAS considering the production of the heavy stop

state ($\tilde{t}_2$) decaying via $\tilde{t}_2 \to Z\tilde{t}_1$.[25] As shown in Figure 4, exclusion limits on $\tilde{t}_2$ masses of approximately 550 GeV are obtained in this very difficult ($m_{\tilde{t}_1}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$) configuration.

Other models also feature long decay chains involving stops, such as gauge-mediated SUSY breaking scenarios where the graviton ($\tilde{G}$) is the LSP and the lightest neutralino decays via $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to Z\tilde{G}$ or $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to h\tilde{G}$. Analyses exploiting the $Z \to \ell\ell$ or $h \to \gamma\gamma$ decays in this kind of model[25–27] lead to sensitivities at stop masses of a few hundred GeV, as illustrated in Figure 4 (right) by the CMS analysis considering $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to h\tilde{G}$ with $h \to \gamma\gamma$.


ATLAS Preliminary
Observed limit (±1 σ SUSY theory)
Expected limit (±1 σ exp.)
All limits at 95% CL
∫ L dt = 20.7 fb⁻¹, √s=8 TeV
m_t̃1 = m_χ̃1⁰ + 180 GeV
t̃2→Zt̃1 forbidden
m_t̃1 [GeV]
m_t̃2 [GeV]
CMS Preliminary, √s = 8 TeV, ∫L dt = 19 fb⁻¹
Natural Higgsino NLSP (GMSB)
Br(χ̃1⁰ → hG̃) = 1, Strong and Weak Production
observed 95% CLs Limits
Theory uncertainty (NLO+NLL)
expected 95% CLs Limits
expected ±1σ experimental
m_χ̃1⁰ = m_χ̃1± − 5 GeV, m_χ̃2⁰ = m_χ̃1± + 5 GeV
m_χ̃1± (GeV)
m_t̃1 (GeV)

Fig. 4. On the left,[25] expected and observed exclusion limits at 95% CL obtained in the ($m_{\tilde{t}_2}$, $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$) mass plane for simplified models with $\tilde{t}_2$ pair production and $\tilde{t}_2 \to Z\tilde{t}_1$, $\tilde{t}_1 \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ decays for $m_{\tilde{t}_1} \approx m_t + m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$. On the right,[26] expected and observed exclusion limits at 95% CL considering a "natural" SUSY scenario with gauge-mediated breaking as a function of $m_{\tilde{t}_1}$ and $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm}$.

5. Summary and conclusions

The search for SUSY is a major part of the LHC physics programme. In particular, naturalness arguments favour the existence of top and bottom squarks with masses of several hundred GeV which can be observed at the LHC energies.

A wide program of searches for third generation squarks has been carried out in ATLAS and CMS with the LHC data acquired during 2010-2012. Dedicated analyses optimized for different stop and sbottom decay modes and final state topologies have been conducted. In some cases, complex analysis techniques (boosted-decision trees, multi-dimensional fits, c-jet tagging,

etc.) are used to discriminate signals from the background.

No excess has been observed in data above the Standard Model predictions and the current exclusion limits reach stop and sbottom masses at the level of 600-700 GeV in models with one step decays such as $\tilde{b}_1 \to b\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ or $\tilde{t}_1 \to t\tilde{\chi}_1^0$. Very recent results also address the case of long stop and sbottom decay chains involving Z and Higgs bosons.

References

1. ATLAS Collaboration, *Phys. Lett.* **B716**, 1 (2012).
2. CMS Collaboration, *Phys. Lett.* **B716**, 30 (2012).
3. ATLAS Collaboration, *JINST* **3**, p. S08003 (2008).
4. CMS Collaboration, *JINST* **3**, p. S08004 (2008).
5. L. Evans and P. Bryant (editors), *JINST* **3**, p. S08001 (2008).
6. D. Alves *et al.*, *J. Phys.* **G39**, p. 105005 (2012).
7. ATLAS Collaboration, *arXiv:1308.2631 [hep-ex]* (2013).
8. CMS Collaboration, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C73**, p. 2568 (2013).
9. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS-CONF-2013-061,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1557778* .
10. CMS Collaboration, *CMS-PAS-SUS-13-008,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1547560* .
11. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS-CONF-2013-007,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1522430* .
12. CMS Collaboration, *CMS-PAS-SUS-13-013,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1563301* .
13. CMS Collaboration, *CMS-PAS-SUS-13-002,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1599719* .
14. CMS Collaboration, *arXiv:1308.1586 [hep-ex]* (2013).
15. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS-CONF-2013-037,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1532431* .
16. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS-CONF-2013-048,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1547564* (2013).
17. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS-CONF-2013-065,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1562840* .
18. M. Burns, K. Kong, K. T. Matchev and M. Park, *JHEP* **0903**, p. 143 (2009).
19. W. S. Cho, K. Choi, Y. G. Kim and C. B. Park, *JHEP* **0802**, p. 035 (2008).
20. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS-CONF-2013-024,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1525880* .
21. CMS Collaboration, *CMS-PAS-SUS-13-004,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1596446* .
22. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS-CONF-2013-068,* *http://cds.cern.ch/record/1562880* .
23. ATLAS Collaboration, https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/CombinedSummaryPlots.
24. CMS Collaboration,

https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/SUSYSMSSummaryPlots8TeV.
25. ATLAS Collaboration, *ATLAS-CONF-2013-025, http://cds.cern.ch/record/1525881* .
26. CMS Collaboration. CMS-PAS-SUS-13-014, https://cds.cern.ch/record/1598863.
27. CMS Collaboration, *CMS-PAS-SUS-13-002, http://cds.cern.ch/record/1599719* .